

Machin-type formulae

$$k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{x_1} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} = \frac{r\pi}{4} \text{ with} \\ 2 \leq x_1 \leq 9$$

Tomohiro Yamada (CJLC, Osaka University)

Mar. 4. 2025 (revised in Mar. 31. 2025)

1 Introduction

2 Proof of the theorem

Introduction

The Machin's formula (Machin, 1706)

$$4 \arctan \frac{1}{5} - \arctan \frac{1}{239} = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (1)$$

is well known and have been used to calculate approximate values of π .

Introduction

The Machin's formula (Machin, 1706)

$$4 \arctan \frac{1}{5} - \arctan \frac{1}{239} = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (1)$$

is well known and have been used to calculate approximate values of π .

Analogous formulae

$$\arctan \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{1}{3} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (2)$$

$$2 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \arctan \frac{1}{7} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$2 \arctan \frac{1}{3} + \arctan \frac{1}{7} = \frac{\pi}{4}. \quad (4)$$

(2)-(4) were attributed to Euler, Hutton and Hermann, respectively. But according to Tweddle, 1991, these formulae also seem to have been found by Machin.

Analogous formulae

$$\arctan \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{1}{3} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (2)$$

$$2 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \arctan \frac{1}{7} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$2 \arctan \frac{1}{3} + \arctan \frac{1}{7} = \frac{\pi}{4}. \quad (4)$$

(2)-(4) were attributed to Euler, Hutton and Hermann, respectively. But according to Tweddle, 1991, these formulae also seem to have been found by Machin.

Several three-term formulae also have been known. For example,

$$8 \arctan \frac{1}{10} - \arctan \frac{1}{239} - 4 \arctan \frac{1}{515} = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

$$12 \arctan \frac{1}{18} + 8 \arctan \frac{1}{57} - 5 \arctan \frac{1}{239} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

by Simson in 1723 and by Gauss in 1863 respectively (see Tweddle, 1991).

Several three-term formulae also have been known. For example,

$$8 \arctan \frac{1}{10} - \arctan \frac{1}{239} - 4 \arctan \frac{1}{515} = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

$$12 \arctan \frac{1}{18} + 8 \arctan \frac{1}{57} - 5 \arctan \frac{1}{239} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

by Simson in 1723 and by Gauss in 1863 respectively (see Tweddle, 1991).

Two-term Machin-type formulae (Størmer, 1895)

There exist only four two-term formulae (1)-(4).

A problem

For given $n \geq 3$, determine all n -term Machin-type formulae

$$k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{x_1} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + \cdots + k_n \arctan \frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{r\pi}{4} \quad (5)$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n, x_1, \dots, x_n$, and r integers with $x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ and $r \neq 0$.

This problem is unsolved even for $n = 3$.

A problem

For given $n \geq 3$, determine all n -term Machin-type formulae

$$k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{x_1} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + \cdots + k_n \arctan \frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{r\pi}{4} \quad (5)$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n, x_1, \dots, x_n$, and r integers with $x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ and $r \neq 0$.

This problem is unsolved even for $n = 3$.

A problem

For given $n \geq 3$, determine all n -term Machin-type formulae

$$k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{x_1} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + \cdots + k_n \arctan \frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{r\pi}{4} \quad (5)$$

with $k_1, \dots, k_n, x_1, \dots, x_n$, and r integers with $x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ and $r \neq 0$.

This problem is unsolved even for $n = 3$.

Størmer, 1896 gave a general criteria and 102 three-term formulae.

Størmer's criteria (revised)

A necessary and sufficient condition for given integers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ to have a Machin-type formula (5) is as follows:

$\exists s_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1)$: integers,

$\exists \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$: Gaussian integers s.t.

$$\left[\frac{x_i + \sqrt{-1}}{x_i - \sqrt{-1}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\eta_j}{\bar{\eta}_j} \right]^{\pm s_{i,j}} \quad (6)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Størmer, 1896 gave a general criteria and 102 three-term formulae.

Størmer's criteria (revised)

A necessary and sufficient condition for given integers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ to have a Machin-type formula (5) is as follows:

$\exists s_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1)$: integers,

$\exists \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$: Gaussian integers s.t.

$$\left[\frac{x_i + \sqrt{-1}}{x_i - \sqrt{-1}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\eta_j}{\bar{\eta}_j} \right]^{\pm s_{i,j}} \quad (6)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Størmer, 1896 gave a general criteria and 102 three-term formulae.

Størmer's criteria (revised)

A necessary and sufficient condition for given integers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ to have a Machin-type formula (5) is as follows:

$\exists s_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1)$: integers,

$\exists \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$: Gaussian integers s.t.

$$\left[\frac{x_i + \sqrt{-1}}{x_i - \sqrt{-1}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\eta_j}{\bar{\eta}_j} \right]^{\pm s_{i,j}} \quad (6)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Størmer, 1896 gave a general criteria and 102 three-term formulae.

Størmer's criteria (revised)

A necessary and sufficient condition for given integers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 2$ to have a Machin-type formula (5) is as follows:

$\exists s_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1)$: integers,

$\exists \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$: Gaussian integers s.t.

$$\left[\frac{x_i + \sqrt{-1}}{x_i - \sqrt{-1}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\eta_j}{\bar{\eta}_j} \right]^{\pm s_{i,j}} \quad (6)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Now we would like to confine our interest to three-type formulae

$$k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{x_1} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} = \frac{r\pi}{4} \quad (7)$$

in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$ and $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$.

Now we would like to confine our interest to three-type formulae

$$k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{x_1} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} = \frac{r\pi}{4} \quad (7)$$

in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$ and $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$.

Other than 102 formulae, only three nontrivial formulae are known:

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + 2 \arctan \frac{1}{53} + \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{3\pi}{4},$$

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{3} - 2 \arctan \frac{1}{53} - \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{7} + 4 \arctan \frac{1}{53} + 2 \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

According to the table of Wetherfield and Hwang, these formulae have been found by Wrench, 1938, although these formulae cannot be found there.

Other than 102 formulae, only three nontrivial formulae are known:

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + 2 \arctan \frac{1}{53} + \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{3\pi}{4},$$

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{3} - 2 \arctan \frac{1}{53} - \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{7} + 4 \arctan \frac{1}{53} + 2 \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

According to the table of Wetherfield and Hwang, these formulae have been found by Wrench, 1938, although these formulae cannot be found there.

Other than 102 formulae, only three nontrivial formulae are known:

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + 2 \arctan \frac{1}{53} + \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{3\pi}{4},$$

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{3} - 2 \arctan \frac{1}{53} - \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and

$$5 \arctan \frac{1}{7} + 4 \arctan \frac{1}{53} + 2 \arctan \frac{1}{4443} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

According to the table of Wetherfield and Hwang, these formulae have been found by Wrench, 1938, although these formulae cannot be found there.

Previously, the speaker proved that:

Y., 2018, arXiv: 1811.09273

There exist only finitely many integers $x_i, k_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ and r with $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$, $\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 1$, and $r \neq 0$ satisfying (7).

Y., 2018, revised in 2025, arXiv: 1811.09273

Furthermore,

- I. If $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$ and $x_i^2 + 1 \geq m_2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $m_1 < m_2 < 1.342 \times 10^{34}$, $\log x_i < 83801148333$, and $|k_i| < 9.152 \cdot 10^{16}$.
- II. If $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$ and m_2 does not divide $x_i^2 + 1$ for some i , then $m_1 < 2.531 \times 10^{24}$, $\log m_2 < 294622$, $\log x_i < 5.054 \times 10^{12}$, and $|y_i| < 1.312 \times 10^{19}$. Moreover, $\log m_2 < 40000$ if additionally $m_1 \geq 9.134 \times 10^{22}$.
- III. If $m_1 m_2 < 10^{10}$, then $\log x_i < 8813999998$ and $|y_i| < 4.508 \times 10^{18}$.

Y., 2018, revised in 2025, arXiv: 1811.09273

Furthermore,

- I. If $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$ and $x_i^2 + 1 \geq m_2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $m_1 < m_2 < 1.342 \times 10^{34}$, $\log x_i < 83801148333$, and $|k_i| < 9.152 \cdot 10^{16}$.
- II. If $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$ and m_2 does not divide $x_i^2 + 1$ for some i , then $m_1 < 2.531 \times 10^{24}$, $\log m_2 < 294622$, $\log x_i < 5.054 \times 10^{12}$, and $|y_i| < 1.312 \times 10^{19}$. Moreover, $\log m_2 < 40000$ if additionally $m_1 \geq 9.134 \times 10^{22}$.
- III. If $m_1 m_2 < 10^{10}$, then $\log x_i < 8813999998$ and $|y_i| < 4.508 \times 10^{18}$.

Y., 2018, revised in 2025, arXiv: 1811.09273

Furthermore,

- I. If $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$ and $x_i^2 + 1 \geq m_2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $m_1 < m_2 < 1.342 \times 10^{34}$, $\log x_i < 83801148333$, and $|k_i| < 9.152 \cdot 10^{16}$.
- II. If $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$ and m_2 does not divide $x_i^2 + 1$ for some i , then $m_1 < 2.531 \times 10^{24}$, $\log m_2 < 294622$, $\log x_i < 5.054 \times 10^{12}$, and $|y_i| < 1.312 \times 10^{19}$. Moreover, $\log m_2 < 40000$ if additionally $m_1 \geq 9.134 \times 10^{22}$.
- III. If $m_1 m_2 < 10^{10}$, then $\log x_i < 8813999998$ and $|y_i| < 4.508 \times 10^{18}$.

The speaker also proved:

Lemma 1, Y., 2018, revised in 2025 (continued)

If $m_1 = 5, 13, 17, 37$, and 41 , then

$\log m_2 < 115315, 116234, 110031, 108986$, and 118023 respectively.

Moreover, if $53 \leq m_1 < 5000$, then $\log m_2 < 138880$.

Proofs of these results involved a new lower bound for linear forms of three logarithms by Mignotte, Voutier, and Laurent and a new lower bound for linear forms of one logarithm and πi by the speaker (arXiv: 1906.00419).

The speaker also proved:

Lemma 1, Y., 2018, revised in 2025 (continued)

If $m_1 = 5, 13, 17, 37$, and 41 , then

$\log m_2 < 115315, 116234, 110031, 108986$, and 118023 respectively.

Moreover, if $53 \leq m_1 < 5000$, then $\log m_2 < 138880$.

Proofs of these results involved a new lower bound for linear forms of three logarithms by Mignotte, Voutier, and Laurent and a new lower bound for linear forms of one logarithm and πi by the speaker (arXiv: 1906.00419).

The speaker also proved:

Lemma 1, Y., 2018, revised in 2025 (continued)

If $m_1 = 5, 13, 17, 37$, and 41 , then

$\log m_2 < 115315, 116234, 110031, 108986$, and 118023 respectively.

Moreover, if $53 \leq m_1 < 5000$, then $\log m_2 < 138880$.

Proofs of these results involved a new lower bound for linear forms of three logarithms by Mignotte, Voutier, and Laurent and a new lower bound for linear forms of one logarithm and πi by the speaker (arXiv: 1906.00419).

Main results

Theorem 1 (Y.)

(7) has no new solution with $x_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$.
(Known solutions up to 2023 have been given by Wetherfield and Hwang)

Note

This does not imply that (7) has no new solution with $x_1 = 7$. Although $\arctan(1/2)$ and $\arctan(1/7)$ are linearly dependent, if we replace (7) with $x_1 = 7$ and $r \neq 0$ by $x_1 = 2$, then r may become zero.

Main results

Theorem 1 (Y.)

(7) has no new solution with $x_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$.
(Known solutions up to 2023 have been given by Wetherfield and Hwang)

Note

This does not imply that (7) has no new solution with $x_1 = 7$.
Although $\arctan(1/2)$ and $\arctan(1/7)$ are linearly dependent, if we replace (7) with $x_1 = 7$ and $r \neq 0$ by $x_1 = 2$, then r may become zero.

Main results

Theorem 1 (Y.)

(7) has no new solution with $x_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$.
(Known solutions up to 2023 have been given by Wetherfield and Hwang)

Note

This does not imply that (7) has no new solution with $x_1 = 7$.
Although $\arctan(1/2)$ and $\arctan(1/7)$ are linearly dependent, if we replace (7) with $x_1 = 7$ and $r \neq 0$ by $x_1 = 2$, then r may become zero.

Main results

Theorem 1 (Y.)

(7) has no new solution with $x_1 \in \{2, 3\}$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$.
(Known solutions up to 2023 have been given by Wetherfield and Hwang)

Note

This does not imply that (7) has no new solution with $x_1 = 7$. Although $\arctan(1/2)$ and $\arctan(1/7)$ are linearly dependent, if we replace (7) with $x_1 = 7$ and $r \neq 0$ by $x_1 = 2$, then r may become zero.

Theorem 2 (Y.)

If we have (7) with $4 \leq x_1 \leq 9$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$, $\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 1$, and $m_1 m_2 > 10^{10}$, then x_2 and $|k_1|$ are bounded by constants given in the table below.

Table: Constants

x_1	m_1	$x_2 <$	$ k_1 \leq$
4	17	5.05×10^{16}	237902654
5	13	1.23×10^{17}	467372721
6	37	1.81×10^{16}	171102423
7	5	9.59×10^{16}	517269881
8	65	3.38×10^{16}	309059478
9	41	2.05×10^{16}	391493587

Theorem 2 (Y.)

If we have (7) with $4 \leq x_1 \leq 9$, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \neq \{2, 3, 7\}$, $\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 1$, and $m_1 m_2 > 10^{10}$, then x_2 and $|k_1|$ are bounded by constants given in the table below.

Table: Constants

x_1	m_1	$x_2 <$	$ k_1 \leq$
4	17	5.05×10^{16}	237902654
5	13	1.23×10^{17}	467372721
6	37	1.81×10^{16}	171102423
7	5	9.59×10^{16}	517269881
8	65	3.38×10^{16}	309059478
9	41	2.05×10^{16}	391493587

Proof of main results

We begin by a consequence of Størmer's criteria:

If we have (7) in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$, then, putting $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$, we have

$$x_i^2 + 1 = 2^{s_{i,0}} m_1^{s_{i,1}} m_2^{s_{i,2}}$$

with $s_{i,j}$ nonnegative integers for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Moreover, $s_{i,0} \in \{0, 1\}$ and we can take

$$k_i = \pm s_{i+1,1} s_{i+2,2} \pm s_{i+2,1} s_{i+1,2}$$

with an appropriate combination of signs for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (we take $s_{4,j} = s_{1,j}$ and $s_{5,j} = s_{2,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$).

Proof of main results

We begin by a consequence of Størmer's criteria:

If we have (7) in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$, then, putting $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$, we have

$$x_i^2 + 1 = 2^{s_{i,0}} m_1^{s_{i,1}} m_2^{s_{i,2}}$$

with $s_{i,j}$ nonnegative integers for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Moreover, $s_{i,0} \in \{0, 1\}$ and we can take

$$k_i = \pm s_{i+1,1} s_{i+2,2} \pm s_{i+2,1} s_{i+1,2}$$

with an appropriate combination of signs for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (we take $s_{4,j} = s_{1,j}$ and $s_{5,j} = s_{2,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$).

Proof of main results

We begin by a consequence of Størmer's criteria:

If we have (7) in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$, then, putting $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$, we have

$$x_i^2 + 1 = 2^{s_{i,0}} m_1^{s_{i,1}} m_2^{s_{i,2}}$$

with $s_{i,j}$ nonnegative integers for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Moreover, $s_{i,0} \in \{0, 1\}$ and we can take

$$k_i = \pm s_{i+1,1} s_{i+2,2} \pm s_{i+2,1} s_{i+1,2}$$

with an appropriate combination of signs for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (we take $s_{4,j} = s_{1,j}$ and $s_{5,j} = s_{2,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$).

Proof of main results

We begin by a consequence of Størmer's criteria:

If we have (7) in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$, then, putting $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$, we have

$$x_i^2 + 1 = 2^{s_{i,0}} m_1^{s_{i,1}} m_2^{s_{i,2}}$$

with $s_{i,j}$ nonnegative integers for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Moreover, $s_{i,0} \in \{0, 1\}$ and we can take

$$k_i = \pm s_{i+1,1} s_{i+2,2} \pm s_{i+2,1} s_{i+1,2}$$

with an appropriate combination of signs for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (we take $s_{4,j} = s_{1,j}$ and $s_{5,j} = s_{2,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$).

Proof of main results

We begin by a consequence of Størmer's criteria:

If we have (7) in integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, and r with $r \neq 0$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 2$, then, putting $m_j = \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ with $m_1 < m_2$, we have

$$x_i^2 + 1 = 2^{s_{i,0}} m_1^{s_{i,1}} m_2^{s_{i,2}}$$

with $s_{i,j}$ nonnegative integers for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Moreover, $s_{i,0} \in \{0, 1\}$ and we can take

$$k_i = \pm s_{i+1,1} s_{i+2,2} \pm s_{i+2,1} s_{i+1,2}$$

with an appropriate combination of signs for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (we take $s_{4,j} = s_{1,j}$ and $s_{5,j} = s_{2,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$).

So that, we are led to exponential diophantine equations

$$x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$$

and

$$x^2 + 1 = 2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}.$$

In Y. 2018/2025, the speaker proved that

Bounds for solutions of exponential diophantine equations

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$, then, for $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$, we have

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 \leq A_4(\log m_1 \log m_2) \log^2(A_5 \log m_1)$$

and otherwise

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 1.7628 m_1 m_2.$$

So that, we are led to exponential diophantine equations

$$x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$$

and

$$x^2 + 1 = 2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}.$$

In Y. 2018/2025, the speaker proved that

Bounds for solutions of exponential diophantine equations

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$, then, for $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$, we have

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 \leq A_4(\log m_1 \log m_2) \log^2(A_5 \log m_1)$$

and otherwise

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 1.7628 m_1 m_2.$$

So that, we are led to exponential diophantine equations

$$x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$$

and

$$x^2 + 1 = 2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}.$$

In Y. 2018/2025, the speaker proved that

Bounds for solutions of exponential diophantine equations

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$, then, for $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$, we have

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 \leq A_4(\log m_1 \log m_2) \log^2(A_5 \log m_1)$$

and otherwise

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 1.7628 m_1 m_2.$$

So that, we are led to exponential diophantine equations

$$x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$$

and

$$x^2 + 1 = 2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}.$$

In Y. 2018/2025, the speaker proved that

Bounds for solutions of exponential diophantine equations

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$, then, for $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$, we have

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 \leq A_4(\log m_1 \log m_2) \log^2(A_5 \log m_1)$$

and otherwise

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 1.7628 m_1 m_2.$$

So that, we are led to exponential diophantine equations

$$x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$$

and

$$x^2 + 1 = 2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}.$$

In Y. 2018/2025, the speaker proved that

Bounds for solutions of exponential diophantine equations

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$, then, for $m_1 m_2 \geq 10^{10}$, we have

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 \leq A_4(\log m_1 \log m_2) \log^2(A_5 \log m_1)$$

and otherwise

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 1.7628 m_1 m_2.$$

It immediately follows that

Lemma 2

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$ and $m_1 m_2 > 10^{10}$, then $e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < C_1 \log m_2$, where C_1 is given in the table below.

Table: Constants used in the proof

m_1	C_1	C_2
5	5586652	2.24×10^{18}
13	7701563	2.69×10^{18}
17	4393299	7.5×10^{17}
37	4200431	5.33×10^{17}
41	9731735	3.01×10^{18}
65	8529020	2.43×10^{18}

It immediately follows that

Lemma 2

If $x^2 + 1 = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ or $2m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2}$ with $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$ and $m_1 m_2 > 10^{10}$, then $e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < C_1 \log m_2$, where C_1 is given in the table below.

Table: Constants used in the proof

m_1	C_1	C_2
5	5586652	2.24×10^{18}
13	7701563	2.69×10^{18}
17	4393299	7.5×10^{17}
37	4200431	5.33×10^{17}
41	9731735	3.01×10^{18}
65	8529020	2.43×10^{18}

Lemma 3

Moreover, in the case $m_1 = 5$, if $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, then, using the same argument as in Y. 2018/2025, we can prove that

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < A_4 \log 5 \log m_2 \log^2(A_5 \log 5),$$

where $A_4 = 8443.506$ and $A_5 = 966682877$. Hence,

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 6087578 \log m_2.$$

Lemma 3

Moreover, in the case $m_1 = 5$, if $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, then, using the same argument as in Y. 2018/2025, we can prove that

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < A_4 \log 5 \log m_2 \log^2(A_5 \log 5),$$

where $A_4 = 8443.506$ and $A_5 = 966682877$. Hence,

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 6087578 \log m_2.$$

Lemma 3

Moreover, in the case $m_1 = 5$, if $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, then, using the same argument as in Y. 2018/2025, we can prove that

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < A_4 \log 5 \log m_2 \log^2(A_5 \log 5),$$

where $A_4 = 8443.506$ and $A_5 = 966682877$. Hence,

$$e_1 \log m_1 + e_2 \log m_2 < 6087578 \log m_2.$$

If $x_1 \in \{2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$, then we can take $m_1 = x^2 + 1$ or $(x^2 + 1)/2$ and $\eta_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}$. Thus, Størmer's criteria gives that

$$x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s m_1^a m_2^b, x_3^2 + 1 = 2^t m_1^c m_2^d$$

with $s, t \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\left[\frac{x_2 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_2 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^d \left[\frac{x_3 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_3 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^{\pm b} = \left[\frac{x_1 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_1 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^{\pm ad \pm bc}.$$

If $x_1 \in \{2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$, then we can take $m_1 = x^2 + 1$ or $(x^2 + 1)/2$ and $\eta_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}$. Thus, Størmer's criteria gives that

$$x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s m_1^a m_2^b, x_3^2 + 1 = 2^t m_1^c m_2^d$$

with $s, t \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\left[\frac{x_2 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_2 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^d \left[\frac{x_3 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_3 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^{\pm b} = \left[\frac{x_1 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_1 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^{\pm ad \pm bc}.$$

If $x_1 \in \{2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$, then we can take $m_1 = x^2 + 1$ or $(x^2 + 1)/2$ and $\eta_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}$. Thus, Størmer's criteria gives that

$$x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s m_1^a m_2^b, x_3^2 + 1 = 2^t m_1^c m_2^d$$

with $s, t \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\left[\frac{x_2 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_2 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^d \left[\frac{x_3 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_3 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^{\pm b} = \left[\frac{x_1 + \sqrt{-1}}{x_1 - \sqrt{-1}} \right]^{\pm ad \pm bc}.$$

Upper bounds for k_1

Hence, we have (7) with

$$g(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (\pm ad \pm bc, d, \pm b), \quad (8)$$

g a nonzero integer (since we have assumed that $\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 1$), an appropriate combination of signs.

Upper bounds for k_1

Hence, we have (7) with

$$g(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (\pm ad \pm bc, d, \pm b), \quad (8)$$

g a nonzero integer (since we have assumed that $\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 1$), an appropriate combination of signs.

Case I. $m_1 m_2$: large

For simplicity, we limit ourselves to the case $x_1 = 2$. If $m_1 m_2 > 10^8$, then: Lemma 3 yields that

$$a \log m_1 + b \log m_2, c \log m_1 + d \log m_2 < 6087578 \log m_2.$$

We see that

$$|k_1| \leq ad + bc < \frac{(6087578 \log m_2)^2}{\log 5 \log m_2} = \frac{6087578^2 \log m_2}{\log 5}.$$

Since $\log m_2 < 115315$ by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|k_1| < 3.71 \times 10^{13} \log m_2 < 4.27 \times 10^{18}, |k_2|, |k_3| \leq 6087577.$$

Case I. $m_1 m_2$: large

For simplicity, we limit ourselves to the case $x_1 = 2$. If $m_1 m_2 > 10^8$, then: Lemma 3 yields that

$$a \log m_1 + b \log m_2, c \log m_1 + d \log m_2 < 6087578 \log m_2.$$

We see that

$$|k_1| \leq ad + bc < \frac{(6087578 \log m_2)^2}{\log 5 \log m_2} = \frac{6087578^2 \log m_2}{\log 5}.$$

Since $\log m_2 < 115315$ by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|k_1| < 3.71 \times 10^{13} \log m_2 < 4.27 \times 10^{18}, |k_2|, |k_3| \leq 6087577.$$

Case I. $m_1 m_2$: large

For simplicity, we limit ourselves to the case $x_1 = 2$. If $m_1 m_2 > 10^8$, then: Lemma 3 yields that

$$a \log m_1 + b \log m_2, c \log m_1 + d \log m_2 < 6087578 \log m_2.$$

We see that

$$|k_1| \leq ad + bc < \frac{(6087578 \log m_2)^2}{\log 5 \log m_2} = \frac{6087578^2 \log m_2}{\log 5}.$$

Since $\log m_2 < 115315$ by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|k_1| < 3.71 \times 10^{13} \log m_2 < 4.27 \times 10^{18}, |k_2|, |k_3| \leq 6087577.$$

Using the simple continued fraction of $4 \arctan(1/2)/\pi$, we see that

$$|\delta| := \left| k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} \right| > 1.303 \times 10^{-20},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 7.68 \times 10^{19}(|b| + |d|) < 9.36 \times 10^{26}$ and $m_2 \leq x_2^2 + 1 < 8.77 \times 10^{53}$.

Using the simple continued fraction of $4 \arctan(1/2)/\pi$, we see that

$$|\delta| := \left| k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} + k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} \right| > 1.303 \times 10^{-20},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 7.68 \times 10^{19}(|b| + |d|) < 9.36 \times 10^{26}$ and $m_2 \leq x_2^2 + 1 < 8.77 \times 10^{53}$.

Hence, we obtain $a \leq \lfloor \log(x^2 + 1)/\log 5 \rfloor \leq 77$ and $b \leq \lfloor \log(8.77 \times 10^{53})/\log m_2 \rfloor \leq 7$.

Now (8) gives $|k_1| \leq 938558423$ and

$$|\delta| > 5.83 \times 10^{-11}.$$

Hence, we obtain $a \leq \lfloor \log(x^2 + 1)/\log 5 \rfloor \leq 77$ and $b \leq \lfloor \log(8.77 \times 10^{53})/\log m_2 \rfloor \leq 7$.

Now (8) gives $|k_1| \leq 938558423$ and

$$|\delta| > 5.83 \times 10^{-11}.$$

Iterating our argument with the aid of $b \leq 2$, we have $x_2 < 1.05 \times 10^{17}$, $m_2 \leq 1.11 \times 10^{34}$, and $a \leq 48$.

Hence, $|k_1| \leq 588716504$.

Iterating our argument with the aid of $b \leq 2$, we have $x_2 < 1.05 \times 10^{17}$, $m_2 \leq 1.11 \times 10^{34}$, and $a \leq 48$.

Hence, $|k_1| \leq 588716504$.

Case II. $m_1 m_2$: small

Similarly, if $m_1 m_2 \leq 10^8$ and $m_2 > 100$, then

Lemma 2 yields that

$$a \log m_1 + b \log m_2, c \log m_1 + d \log m_2 < 1.7628 \times 10^8.$$

We see that

$$|k_1| \leq ad + bc < \frac{(1.7628 \times 10^8)^2}{\log m_1 \log m_2} < 4.2 \times 10^{15}, |k_2|, |k_3| \leq 38278715.$$

Case II. $m_1 m_2$: small

Similarly, if $m_1 m_2 \leq 10^8$ and $m_2 > 100$, then

Lemma 2 yields that

$$a \log m_1 + b \log m_2, c \log m_1 + d \log m_2 < 1.7628 \times 10^8.$$

We see that

$$|k_1| \leq ad + bc < \frac{(1.7628 \times 10^8)^2}{\log m_1 \log m_2} < 4.2 \times 10^{15}, |k_2|, |k_3| \leq 38278715.$$

Using the simple continued fraction of $4 \arctan(1/2)/\pi$, we obtain

$$|\delta| > 4.3 \times 10^{-15},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 7.45 \times 10^{23}$ and $x_2^2 + 1 < 5.56 \times 10^{47}$.

Using the simple continued fraction of $4 \arctan(1/2)/\pi$, we obtain

$$|\delta| > 4.3 \times 10^{-15},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 7.45 \times 10^{23}$ and $x_2^2 + 1 < 5.56 \times 10^{47}$.

Like above, we obtain $a \leq 68$, $b \leq 23$, $|k_1| \leq 1112253745$, and

$$|\delta| > 5.83 \times 10^{-11},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 6.57 \times 10^{17}$ and $x_2^2 + 1 < 4.32 \times 10^{35}$. Hence, we obtain $a \leq 50$, $b \leq 17$, and

$$|k_1| \leq 819932216.$$

Like above, we obtain $a \leq 68$, $b \leq 23$, $|k_1| \leq 1112253745$, and

$$|\delta| > 5.83 \times 10^{-11},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 6.57 \times 10^{17}$ and $x_2^2 + 1 < 4.32 \times 10^{35}$. Hence, we obtain $a \leq 50$, $b \leq 17$, and

$$|k_1| \leq 819932216.$$

Like above, we obtain $a \leq 68$, $b \leq 23$, $|k_1| \leq 1112253745$, and

$$|\delta| > 5.83 \times 10^{-11},$$

which yields that $x_2 < 6.57 \times 10^{17}$ and $x_2^2 + 1 < 4.32 \times 10^{35}$. Hence, we obtain $a \leq 50$, $b \leq 17$, and

$$|k_1| \leq 819932216.$$

Checking each k_1

For each $k_1 \leq 819932216$, we examined the simple continued fraction of δ to see that

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}.$$

Since $b \leq 2$, we have

$$x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}, m_3 < 9.6 \times 10^{82},$$

from which we deduce that $c \leq 118$ and $d \leq 8$.

Checking each k_1

For each $k_1 \leq 819932216$, we examined the simple continued fraction of δ to see that

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}.$$

Since $b \leq 2$, we have

$$x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}, m_3 < 9.6 \times 10^{82},$$

from which we deduce that $c \leq 118$ and $d \leq 8$.

Checking each k_1

For each $k_1 \leq 819932216$, we examined the simple continued fraction of δ to see that

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}.$$

Since $b \leq 2$, we have

$$x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}, m_3 < 9.6 \times 10^{82},$$

from which we deduce that $c \leq 118$ and $d \leq 8$.

We can confirm that the equation $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a y^b$ with $3 \leq b \leq 7$ and $x, y > 1$, which can be reduced to Thue equations with degree ≤ 7 by factoring in Gaussian integers, has no integer solution except $(x, y, s, a, b) = (239, 13, 1, 0, 4)$.

Hence, we must have $b \leq 2$.

Thus, we see that $|k_1| \leq 390$.

For any (k_1, r) with $|k_1| \leq 390$, we must have $|\delta| > 0.00062$ and $x_2 \leq 6451$.

We can confirm that the equation $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a y^b$ with $3 \leq b \leq 7$ and $x, y > 1$, which can be reduced to Thue equations with degree ≤ 7 by factoring in Gaussian integers, has no integer solution except $(x, y, s, a, b) = (239, 13, 1, 0, 4)$.

Hence, we must have $b \leq 2$.

Thus, we see that $|k_1| \leq 390$.

For any (k_1, r) with $|k_1| \leq 390$, we must have $|\delta| > 0.00062$ and $x_2 \leq 6451$.

We can confirm that the equation $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a y^b$ with $3 \leq b \leq 7$ and $x, y > 1$, which can be reduced to Thue equations with degree ≤ 7 by factoring in Gaussian integers, has no integer solution except $(x, y, s, a, b) = (239, 13, 1, 0, 4)$.

Hence, we must have $b \leq 2$.

Thus, we see that $|k_1| \leq 390$.

For any (k_1, r) with $|k_1| \leq 390$, we must have $|\delta| > 0.00062$ and $x_2 \leq 6451$.

We can confirm that the equation $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a y^b$ with $3 \leq b \leq 7$ and $x, y > 1$, which can be reduced to Thue equations with degree ≤ 7 by factoring in Gaussian integers, has no integer solution except $(x, y, s, a, b) = (239, 13, 1, 0, 4)$.

Hence, we must have $b \leq 2$.

Thus, we see that $|k_1| \leq 390$.

For any (k_1, r) with $|k_1| \leq 390$, we must have $|\delta| > 0.00062$ and $x_2 \leq 6451$.

We can confirm that the equation $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a y^b$ with $3 \leq b \leq 7$ and $x, y > 1$, which can be reduced to Thue equations with degree ≤ 7 by factoring in Gaussian integers, has no integer solution except $(x, y, s, a, b) = (239, 13, 1, 0, 4)$.

Hence, we must have $b \leq 2$.

Thus, we see that $|k_1| \leq 390$.

For any (k_1, r) with $|k_1| \leq 390$, we must have $|\delta| > 0.00062$ and $x_2 \leq 6451$.

Examining each $x_2 \leq 6451$, we found no new solution to (7). This proves Theorem 1.

Addendum (Mar 31, 2025)

We would like to provide a detail on the argument checking each k_1 to conclude $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

We begin by confirm that:

Lemma 4

If we have $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m^b$ for some nonnegative integers x, s, a, b , and m with $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$, then $m^b > 2 \times 10^9$ and $b \leq 2$.

Indeed, if $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$ and $m^b \leq 2 \times 10^9$, then $13 \leq a \leq 85$. For each a , we confirmed that $5^a \mid (x^2 + 1)$ implies $(x^2 + 1)/5^a > 4 \times 10^9$.

Now we must have $b \leq 6$ and then $b \leq 2$ like above.

Addendum (Mar 31, 2025)

We would like to provide a detail on the argument checking each k_1 to conclude $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

We begin by confirm that:

Lemma 4

If we have $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m^b$ for some nonnegative integers x, s, a, b , and m with $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$, then $m^b > 2 \times 10^9$ and $b \leq 2$.

Indeed, if $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$ and $m^b \leq 2 \times 10^9$, then $13 \leq a \leq 85$. For each a , we confirmed that $5^a \mid (x^2 + 1)$ implies $(x^2 + 1)/5^a > 4 \times 10^9$.

Now we must have $b \leq 6$ and then $b \leq 2$ like above.

Addendum (Mar 31, 2025)

We would like to provide a detail on the argument checking each k_1 to conclude $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

We begin by confirm that:

Lemma 4

If we have $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m^b$ for some nonnegative integers x, s, a, b , and m with $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$, then $m^b > 2 \times 10^9$ and $b \leq 2$.

Indeed, if $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$ and $m^b \leq 2 \times 10^9$, then $13 \leq a \leq 85$.

For each a , we confirmed that $5^a \mid (x^2 + 1)$ implies $(x^2 + 1)/5^a > 4 \times 10^9$.

Now we must have $b \leq 6$ and then $b \leq 2$ like above.

Addendum (Mar 31, 2025)

We would like to provide a detail on the argument checking each k_1 to conclude $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

We begin by confirm that:

Lemma 4

If we have $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m^b$ for some nonnegative integers x, s, a, b , and m with $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$, then $m^b > 2 \times 10^9$ and $b \leq 2$.

Indeed, if $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$ and $m^b \leq 2 \times 10^9$, then $13 \leq a \leq 85$. For each a , we confirmed that $5^a \mid (x^2 + 1)$ implies $(x^2 + 1)/5^a > 4 \times 10^9$.

Now we must have $b \leq 6$ and then $b \leq 2$ like above.

Addendum (Mar 31, 2025)

We would like to provide a detail on the argument checking each k_1 to conclude $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

We begin by confirm that:

Lemma 4

If we have $x^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m^b$ for some nonnegative integers x, s, a, b , and m with $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$, then $m^b > 2 \times 10^9$ and $b \leq 2$.

Indeed, if $1.4 \times 10^9 < x < 10^{30}$ and $m^b \leq 2 \times 10^9$, then $13 \leq a \leq 85$. For each a , we confirmed that $5^a \mid (x^2 + 1)$ implies $(x^2 + 1)/5^a > 4 \times 10^9$.

Now we must have $b \leq 6$ and then $b \leq 2$ like above.

Put

$$\delta_0 = k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{r\pi}{4}.$$

In the case $b = 1$, we obtain

Lemma 5

If $b = 1$ and $|\delta_0| < 10^{-35}$, then $|\delta| < 1/8$ or $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

Put

$$\delta_0 = k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{r\pi}{4}.$$

In the case $b = 1$, we obtain

Lemma 5

If $b = 1$ and $|\delta_0| < 10^{-35}$, then $|\delta| < 1/8$ or $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

We checked each $x_2 \leq 500000000$ and each $x_2 > 500000000$ with $x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m_2$ and $m_2 \leq 10^9$ (we can confirm that there exist only a limit number of such x_2 's in a similar way to confirm Lemma 4) to see that $|\delta_0| \geq 10^{-35}$ for such x_2 .

Hence, we must have $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, which limits us to Case I, and $x_2 > 500000000$.

Thus, we see that

$$|\delta| < 0.122 + \frac{6087577}{x_3}$$

and the Lemma holds.

We checked each $x_2 \leq 500000000$ and each $x_2 > 500000000$ with $x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m_2$ and $m_2 \leq 10^9$ (we can confirm that there exist only a limit number of such x_2 's in a similar way to confirm Lemma 4) to see that $|\delta_0| \geq 10^{-35}$ for such x_2 .

Hence, we must have $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, which limits us to Case I, and $x_2 > 500000000$.

Thus, we see that

$$|\delta| < 0.122 + \frac{6087577}{x_3}$$

and the Lemma holds.

We checked each $x_2 \leq 500000000$ and each $x_2 > 500000000$ with $x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m_2$ and $m_2 \leq 10^9$ (we can confirm that there exist only a limit number of such x_2 's in a similar way to confirm Lemma 4) to see that $|\delta_0| \geq 10^{-35}$ for such x_2 .

Hence, we must have $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, which limits us to Case I, and $x_2 > 500000000$.

Thus, we see that

$$|\delta| < 0.122 + \frac{6087577}{x_3}$$

and the Lemma holds.

We checked each $x_2 \leq 500000000$ and each $x_2 > 500000000$ with $x_2^2 + 1 = 2^s 5^a m_2$ and $m_2 \leq 10^9$ (we can confirm that there exist only a limit number of such x_2 's in a similar way to confirm Lemma 4) to see that $|\delta_0| \geq 10^{-35}$ for such x_2 .

Hence, we must have $m_2 > 2 \times 10^7$, which limits us to Case I, and $x_2 > 500000000$.

Thus, we see that

$$|\delta| < 0.122 + \frac{6087577}{x_3}$$

and the Lemma holds.

Lemma 6

If $b = 1$ and $|\delta| < 1/8$, then $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

Put

$$\delta_1 = \delta + \frac{k_2}{x_2} = k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} + \frac{k_2}{x_2}.$$

If $|\delta_1| \geq 1/(2x_2^2)$, then, noting that $|k_2/x_2| < 1/8$ and $x_2 < 1.05 \times 10^{17}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_0| &= \left| k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} \right| \\ &> |\delta_1| - \frac{|k_2|}{3x_2^3} > \frac{11}{24x_2^2} > 10^{-40}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6

If $b = 1$ and $|\delta| < 1/8$, then $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

Put

$$\delta_1 = \delta + \frac{k_2}{x_2} = k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} + \frac{k_2}{x_2}.$$

If $|\delta_1| \geq 1/(2x_2^2)$, then, noting that $|k_2/x_2| < 1/8$ and $x_2 < 1.05 \times 10^{17}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_0| &= \left| k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} \right| \\ &> |\delta_1| - \frac{|k_2|}{3x_2^3} > \frac{11}{24x_2^2} > 10^{-40}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6

If $b = 1$ and $|\delta| < 1/8$, then $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

Put

$$\delta_1 = \delta + \frac{k_2}{x_2} = k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} + \frac{k_2}{x_2}.$$

If $|\delta_1| \geq 1/(2x_2^2)$, then, noting that $|k_2/x_2| < 1/8$ and $x_2 < 1.05 \times 10^{17}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_0| &= \left| k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} \right| \\ &> |\delta_1| - \frac{|k_2|}{3x_2^3} > \frac{11}{24x_2^2} > 10^{-40}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6

If $b = 1$ and $|\delta| < 1/8$, then $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

Put

$$\delta_1 = \delta + \frac{k_2}{x_2} = k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} + \frac{k_2}{x_2}.$$

If $|\delta_1| \geq 1/(2x_2^2)$, then, noting that $|k_2/x_2| < 1/8$ and $x_2 < 1.05 \times 10^{17}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_0| &= \left| k_1 \arctan \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} - \frac{r\pi}{4} \right| \\ &> |\delta_1| - \frac{|k_2|}{3x_2^3} > \frac{11}{24x_2^2} > 10^{-40}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\delta_1| < 1/(2x_2^2)$, then k_2/x_2 must be a convergent to $-\delta$.

Checking each convergent to $-\delta$ (we note that since r is uniquely determined for each k_1 since $|\delta| < 1/8$), we have

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}$$

and therefore $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

If $|\delta_1| < 1/(2x_2^2)$, then k_2/x_2 must be a convergent to $-\delta$.
Checking each convergent to $-\delta$ (we note that since r is uniquely determined for each k_1 since $|\delta| < 1/8$), we have

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}$$

and therefore $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

If $|\delta_1| < 1/(2x_2^2)$, then k_2/x_2 must be a convergent to $-\delta$.
Checking each convergent to $-\delta$ (we note that since r is uniquely determined for each k_1 since $|\delta| < 1/8$), we have

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}$$

and therefore $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

If $|\delta_1| < 1/(2x_2^2)$, then k_2/x_2 must be a convergent to $-\delta$.
 Checking each convergent to $-\delta$ (we note that since r is uniquely determined for each k_1 since $|\delta| < 1/8$), we have

$$\left| k_3 \arctan \frac{1}{x_3} \right| = \left| \delta + k_2 \arctan \frac{1}{x_2} \right| > 6.456 \times 10^{-42}$$

and therefore $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$.

In the case $b = 2$, we have $x_2^2 + 1 = 2y^2, 5y^2$, or $10y^2$ and $x_2 < 7.45 \times 10^{23}$.

We check each x_2 to obtain no new solution to (7).

Now, with the aid of Lemma 4, we must have $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$ as we desired!

In the case $b = 2$, we have $x_2^2 + 1 = 2y^2$, $5y^2$, or $10y^2$ and $x_2 < 7.45 \times 10^{23}$.

We check each x_2 to obtain no new solution to (7).

Now, with the aid of Lemma 4, we must have $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$ as we desired!

In the case $b = 2$, we have $x_2^2 + 1 = 2y^2, 5y^2$, or $10y^2$ and $x_2 < 7.45 \times 10^{23}$.

We check each x_2 to obtain no new solution to (7).

Now, with the aid of Lemma 4, we must have $x_3 < 3.098 \times 10^{41}$ as we desired!

For other x_1 , we have $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$ and $|k_1| < C_2$, where C_2 is given in Table 2, and a similar argument gives Theorem 2.

However, we have not checked for each (k_1, r) below given bounds.

For other x_1 , we have $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$ and $|k_1| < C_2$, where C_2 is given in Table 2, and a similar argument gives Theorem 2.

However, we have not checked for each (k_1, r) below given bounds.

For other x_1 , we have $m_1 \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41, 65\}$ and $|k_1| < C_2$, where C_2 is given in Table 2, and a similar argument gives Theorem 2.

However, we have not checked for each (k_1, r) below given bounds.

Related problems

- (I) Find all integer solutions (x, a, b, q) of $x^2 + 1 = 2^s p^a q^b$ with $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41\}$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 3$, and q a prime.
- (II) Find all integer solutions of (x, y, p) of $x^2 + 1 = Dy^{2p}$ with $D \in \{5, 10, 17, 26, 37, 65, 82\}$.

(I) with q an integer (not necessarily a prime) would yield the complete solution of (7) with $2 \leq x_1 \leq 7$ or $x_1 = 9$ but seems to be extremely difficult. The limited problem (II) would be more accesible (but a problem with n an integer (possibly odd) in place of $2p$ would be more hard).

Related problems

- (I) Find all integer solutions (x, a, b, q) of $x^2 + 1 = 2^s p^a q^b$ with $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41\}$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 3$, and q a prime.
- (II) Find all integer solutions of (x, y, p) of $x^2 + 1 = Dy^{2p}$ with $D \in \{5, 10, 17, 26, 37, 65, 82\}$.

(I) with q an integer (not necessarily a prime) would yield the complete solution of (7) with $2 \leq x_1 \leq 7$ or $x_1 = 9$ but seems to be extremely difficult. The limited problem (II) would be more accesible (but a problem with n an integer (possibly odd) in place of $2p$ would be more hard).

Related problems

- (I) Find all integer solutions (x, a, b, q) of $x^2 + 1 = 2^s p^a q^b$ with $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41\}$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 3$, and q a prime.
- (II) Find all integer solutions of (x, y, p) of $x^2 + 1 = Dy^{2p}$ with $D \in \{5, 10, 17, 26, 37, 65, 82\}$.

(I) with q an integer (not necessarily a prime) would yield the complete solution of (7) with $2 \leq x_1 \leq 7$ or $x_1 = 9$ but seems to be extremely difficult. The limited problem (II) would be more accesible (but a problem with n an integer (possibly odd) in place of $2p$ would be more hard).

Related problems

- (I) Find all integer solutions (x, a, b, q) of $x^2 + 1 = 2^s p^a q^b$ with $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41\}$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 3$, and q a prime.
- (II) Find all integer solutions of (x, y, p) of $x^2 + 1 = Dy^{2p}$ with $D \in \{5, 10, 17, 26, 37, 65, 82\}$.

(I) with q an integer (not necessarily a prime) would yield the complete solution of (7) with $2 \leq x_1 \leq 7$ or $x_1 = 9$ but seems to be extremely difficult. The limited problem (II) would be more accesible (but a problem with n an integer (possibly odd) in place of $2p$ would be more hard).

Related problems

- (I) Find all integer solutions (x, a, b, q) of $x^2 + 1 = 2^s p^a q^b$ with $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \in \{5, 13, 17, 37, 41\}$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 3$, and q a prime.
- (II) Find all integer solutions of (x, y, p) of $x^2 + 1 = Dy^{2p}$ with $D \in \{5, 10, 17, 26, 37, 65, 82\}$.

(I) with q an integer (not necessarily a prime) would yield the complete solution of (7) with $2 \leq x_1 \leq 7$ or $x_1 = 9$ but seems to be extremely difficult. The limited problem (II) would be more accesible (but a problem with n an integer (possibly odd) in place of $2p$ would be more hard).

For example, in the case $D = 10$, we assume that $p \geq 11$ and put

$$V_m = \omega^m + \bar{\omega}^m, U_m = \frac{\omega^m - \bar{\omega}^m}{\omega - \bar{\omega}}$$

with $\omega = 3 + \sqrt{10}$ and we see that

$$x = V_{2n+1}, y^p = U_{2n+1}$$

for some integer n .

For example, in the case $D = 10$, we assume that $p \geq 11$ and put

$$V_m = \omega^m + \bar{\omega}^m, U_m = \frac{\omega^m - \bar{\omega}^m}{\omega - \bar{\omega}}$$

with $\omega = 3 + \sqrt{10}$ and we see that

$$x = V_{2n+1}, y^p = U_{2n+1}$$

for some integer n .

Then, Bennett-Skinner method applied to the Frey curve

$$E_n : Y^2 = X^3 + 2V_{2n+1}X^2 + 10y^{2p}X$$

yields that the Galois representation ρ_n^E associated to E_n arises from a cuspidal newform of weight 2, level 640, and trivial Nebentypes character.

Then, Bennett-Skinner method applied to the Frey curve

$$E_n : Y^2 = X^3 + 2V_{2n+1}X^2 + 10y^{2p}X$$

yields that the Galois representation ρ_n^E associated to E_n arises from a cuspidal newform of weight 2, level 640, and trivial Nebentypes character.

Then, Bennett-Skinner method applied to the Frey curve

$$E_n : Y^2 = X^3 + 2V_{2n+1}X^2 + 10y^{2p}X$$

yields that the Galois representation ρ_n^E associated to E_n arises from a cuspidal newform of weight 2, level 640, and trivial Nebentypes character.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

There are twelve such newforms 640.2.a.a–640.2.a.l according to LMFDB.

640.2.a.i-640.2.a.l: defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, we have a contradiction examining c_ℓ and $a_\ell(E_n)$ for a few primes ℓ .

640.2.a.d: Taking $\ell = 13$ and observing that $c_{13} = -2$ while $a_{13}(E_n) \in \{2, -6\}$, we must have $p \mid 48$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we see that $\rho_p(E_n)$ cannot arise from 640.2.a.a–d or 640.2.a.g–h.

640.2.a.e: Using the technique in Bugeaud-Mignotte-Siksek, we see that, taking $\ell = 11$, we must have $n \equiv 6, 11 \pmod{12}$.

However, taking $\ell = 13$ yields that $n \equiv 0, 2, 3, 5 \pmod{12}$, which is a contradiction.

640.2.a.e: Using the technique in Bugeaud-Mignotte-Siksek, we see that, taking $\ell = 11$, we must have $n \equiv 6, 11 \pmod{12}$. However, taking $\ell = 13$ yields that $n \equiv 0, 2, 3, 5 \pmod{12}$, which is a contradiction.

The 640.2.a.f case

Bugeaud-Mignotte-Siksek would allow us to settle 640.2.a.f but it would require a considerable amount of computation.

Bennett, Skinner, 2004:

Michael A. Bennett and Chris M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 23–54.

Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek, 2006:

Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte, and Samir Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. **163** (2006), 969–1018.

Mignotte, Voutier, Laurent, 2024:

Maurice Mignotte and Paul Voutier, A kit on linear forms in three logarithms, with an appendix by Michel Laurent, Math. Comp. **93** (2024), 1903–1951.

References (B-M)

Bennett, Skinner, 2004:

Michael A. Bennett and Chris M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 23–54.

Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek, 2006:

Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte, and Samir Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. **163** (2006), 969–1018.

Mignotte, Voutier, Laurent, 2024:

Maurice Mignotte and Paul Voutier, A kit on linear forms in three logarithms, with an appendix by Michel Laurent, Math. Comp. **93** (2024), 1903–1951.

References (B-M)

Bennett, Skinner, 2004:

*Michael A. Bennett and Chris M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 23–54.*

Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek, 2006:

*Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte, and Samir Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. **163** (2006), 969–1018.*

Mignotte, Voutier, Laurent, 2024:

*Maurice Mignotte and Paul Voutier, A kit on linear forms in three logarithms, with an appendix by Michel Laurent, Math. Comp. **93** (2024), 1903–1951.*

References (B-M)

Bennett, Skinner, 2004:

Michael A. Bennett and Chris M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 23–54.

Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek, 2006:

Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte, and Samir Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. **163** (2006), 969–1018.

Mignotte, Voutier, Laurent, 2024:

Maurice Mignotte and Paul Voutier, A kit on linear forms in three logarithms, with an appendix by Michel Laurent, Math. Comp. **93** (2024), 1903–1951.

References (B-M)

Bennett, Skinner, 2004:

Michael A. Bennett and Chris M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 23–54.

Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek, 2006:

Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte, and Samir Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. **163** (2006), 969–1018.

Mignotte, Voutier, Laurent, 2024:

Maurice Mignotte and Paul Voutier, A kit on linear forms in three logarithms, with an appendix by Michel Laurent, Math. Comp. **93** (2024), 1903–1951.

Bennett, Skinner, 2004:

Michael A. Bennett and Chris M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 23–54.

Bugeaud, Mignotte, Siksek, 2006:

Yann Bugeaud, Maurice Mignotte, and Samir Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. **163** (2006), 969–1018.

Mignotte, Voutier, Laurent, 2024:

Maurice Mignotte and Paul Voutier, A kit on linear forms in three logarithms, with an appendix by Michel Laurent, Math. Comp. **93** (2024), 1903–1951.

Stormer, 1895:

*Carl Størmer, Solution complète en nombres entiers m, n, x, y, k de l'équation $\text{marc tg} \frac{1}{x} + n \text{arc tg} \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math.-naturv. Klasse **1895**, Nr. 11, 21 pages.*

Stormer, 1896:

*Carl Størmer, Sur l'application de la théorie des nombres entiers complexes a la solution en nombres rationnels $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, k$ de l'équation: $c_1 \text{arc tg} x_1 + c_2 \text{arc tg} x_2 + \dots + c_n \text{arc tg} x_n = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Arch. Math. Naturv. **19** (1896), Nr. 3, 96 pages.*

Størmer, 1897:

Carl Størmer, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$ et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.

Stormer, 1895:

*Carl Størmer, Solution complète en nombres entiers m, n, x, y, k de l'équation $m \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} \frac{1}{x} + n \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math.-naturv. Klasse **1895**, Nr. 11, 21 pages.*

Stormer, 1896:

*Carl Størmer, Sur l'application de la théorie des nombres entiers complexes a la solution en nombres rationnels $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, k$ de l'équation: $c_1 \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} x_1 + c_2 \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} x_2 + \dots + c_n \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} x_n = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Arch. Math. Naturv. **19** (1896), Nr. 3, 96 pages.*

Størmer, 1897:

Carl Størmer, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$ et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.

Stormer, 1895:

*Carl Størmer, Solution complète en nombres entiers m, n, x, y, k de l'équation $m \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} \frac{1}{x} + n \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math.-naturv. Klasse **1895**, Nr. 11, 21 pages.*

Stormer, 1896:

*Carl Størmer, Sur l'application de la théorie des nombres entiers complexes a la solution en nombres rationnels $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, k$ de l'équation: $c_1 \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} x_1 + c_2 \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} x_2 + \dots + c_n \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{tg} x_n = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Arch. Math. Naturv. **19** (1896), Nr. 3, 96 pages.*

Størmer, 1897:

Carl Størmer, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$ et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.

Stormer, 1895:

*Carl Størmer, Solution complète en nombres entiers m, n, x, y, k de l'équation $m \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{x} + n \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math.-naturv. Klasse **1895**, Nr. 11, 21 pages.*

Stormer, 1896:

*Carl Størmer, Sur l'application de la théorie des nombres entiers complexes a la solution en nombres rationnels $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, k$ de l'équation: $c_1 \operatorname{arctg} x_1 + c_2 \operatorname{arctg} x_2 + \dots + c_n \operatorname{arctg} x_n = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Arch. Math. Naturv. **19** (1896), Nr. 3, 96 pages.*

Størmer, 1897:

Carl Størmer, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$ et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.

Stormer, 1895:

*Carl Størmer, Solution complète en nombres entiers m, n, x, y, k de l'équation $m \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{x} + n \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math.-naturv. Klasse **1895**, Nr. 11, 21 pages.*

Stormer, 1896:

*Carl Størmer, Sur l'application de la théorie des nombres entiers complexes a la solution en nombres rationnels $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, k$ de l'équation: $c_1 \operatorname{arctg} x_1 + c_2 \operatorname{arctg} x_2 + \dots + c_n \operatorname{arctg} x_n = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Arch. Math. Naturv. **19** (1896), Nr. 3, 96 pages.*

Størmer, 1897:

Carl Størmer, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$ et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.

Stormer, 1895:

*Carl Størmer, Solution complète en nombres entiers m, n, x, y, k de l'équation $m \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{x} + n \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math.-naturv. Klasse **1895**, Nr. 11, 21 pages.*

Stormer, 1896:

*Carl Størmer, Sur l'application de la théorie des nombres entiers complexes a la solution en nombres rationnels $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, k$ de l'équation: $c_1 \operatorname{arctg} x_1 + c_2 \operatorname{arctg} x_2 + \dots + c_n \operatorname{arctg} x_n = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, Arch. Math. Naturv. **19** (1896), Nr. 3, 96 pages.*

Størmer, 1897:

Carl Størmer, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$ et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.

Tweddle, 1991:

*Ian Tweddle, John Machin and Robert Simson on inverse-tangent series for π , Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. **42** (1991), 1–14.*

Wetherfield, Hwang:

Michael Roby Wetherfield and Hwang Chien-lih, Computing π , lists of Machin-type (inverse cotangent) identities for $\pi/4$, <https://web.archive.org/web/20240204042153/https://machination.eclipse.co.uk/>.

Wrench, 1938:

*J. W. Wrench Jr., On the derivation of arctangent equalities, Amer. Math. Monthly **45** (1938), 108–109.*

Tweddle, 1991:

Ian Tweddle, John Machin and Robert Simson on inverse-tangent series for π , *Arch. Hist. Exact Sci.* **42** (1991), 1–14.

Wetherfield, Hwang:

Michael Roby Wetherfield and Hwang Chien-lih, Computing π , lists of Machin-type (inverse cotangent) identities for $\pi/4$, <https://web.archive.org/web/20240204042153/https://machination.eclipse.co.uk/>.

Wrench, 1938:

J. W. Wrench Jr., On the derivation of arctangent equalities, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **45** (1938), 108–109.

Tweddle, 1991:

Ian Tweddle, John Machin and Robert Simson on inverse-tangent series for π , *Arch. Hist. Exact Sci.* **42** (1991), 1–14.

Wetherfield, Hwang:

Michael Roby Wetherfield and Hwang Chien-lih, Computing π , lists of Machin-type (inverse cotangent) identities for $\pi/4$, <https://web.archive.org/web/20240204042153/https://machination.eclipse.co.uk/>.

Wrench, 1938:

J. W. Wrench Jr., On the derivation of arctangent equalities, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **45** (1938), 108–109.

Tweddle, 1991:

Ian Tweddle, John Machin and Robert Simson on inverse-tangent series for π , *Arch. Hist. Exact Sci.* **42** (1991), 1–14.

Wetherfield, Hwang:

Michael Roby Wetherfield and Hwang Chien-lih, Computing π , lists of Machin-type (inverse cotangent) identities for $\pi/4$, <https://web.archive.org/web/20240204042153/https://machination.eclipse.co.uk/>.

Wrench, 1938:

J. W. Wrench Jr., On the derivation of arctangent equalities, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **45** (1938), 108–109.

Tweddle, 1991:

Ian Tweddle, John Machin and Robert Simson on inverse-tangent series for π , *Arch. Hist. Exact Sci.* **42** (1991), 1–14.

Wetherfield, Hwang:

Michael Roby Wetherfield and Hwang Chien-lih, Computing π , lists of Machin-type (inverse cotangent) identities for $\pi/4$, <https://web.archive.org/web/20240204042153/https://machination.eclipse.co.uk/>.

Wrench, 1938:

J. W. Wrench Jr., On the derivation of arctangent equalities, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **45** (1938), 108–109.

Tweddle, 1991:

Ian Tweddle, John Machin and Robert Simson on inverse-tangent series for π , *Arch. Hist. Exact Sci.* **42** (1991), 1–14.

Wetherfield, Hwang:

Michael Roby Wetherfield and Hwang Chien-lih, Computing π , lists of Machin-type (inverse cotangent) identities for $\pi/4$, <https://web.archive.org/web/20240204042153/https://machination.eclipse.co.uk/>.

Wrench, 1938:

J. W. Wrench Jr., On the derivation of arctangent equalities, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **45** (1938), 108–109.

Y., 2018, revised in 2025:

T. Y., Three-term Machin-type formulae, arXiv: 1811.09273.

Y., 2019, revised in 2025:

T. Y., A note on linear forms in two logarithms: the argument of an algebraic power, arXiv: 1906.00419, Acta Arith. (submitted)

Y., 2018, revised in 2025:

T. Y., Three-term Machin-type formulae, arXiv: 1811.09273.

Y., 2019, revised in 2025:

T. Y., A note on linear forms in two logarithms: the argument of an algebraic power, arXiv: 1906.00419, Acta Arith. (submitted)

Y., 2018, revised in 2025:

T. Y., Three-term Machin-type formulae, arXiv: 1811.09273.

Y., 2019, revised in 2025:

T. Y., A note on linear forms in two logarithms: the argument of an algebraic power, arXiv: 1906.00419, Acta Arith. (submitted)

Y., 2018, revised in 2025:

T. Y., Three-term Machin-type formulae, arXiv: 1811.09273.

Y., 2019, revised in 2025:

T. Y., A note on linear forms in two logarithms: the argument of an algebraic power, arXiv: 1906.00419, Acta Arith. (submitted)

MANY THANKS
FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Tomohiro Yamada
Center for Japanese language and culture
Osaka University
562-8678
3-5-10, Sembahigashi, Minoo, Osaka
Japan
e-mail: tyamada1093@gmail.com